



"Non-traditional methods of material properties and defect parameters measurement

Juozas Vaitkus on behalf of a few Vilnius groups

Vilnius University, Lithuania

Workshop on Defect Analysis in Radiation Damaged Silicon Detectors, Hamburg, 23./24. August 2006



Outline:

- Definition of aims
- Photoconductivity kinetics
- Free carrier diffusion and capture process measurement
- Photo-Hall and magnetoresistance
- Photo-ionization spectroscopy



A few general words:

- Profile of our group "self-formed" 40 years ago as: "measurement of properties of high resistivity and wide bandgap materials".
- These materials have many different type of local levels and, usually, different non-uniformities.
- Therefore it was developed or modified a few of methods for exclusion or activation of a definite level or non-uniformity.
- The modification of methods was oriented on:
 - Exclusion of an origins of nonlinearities;
 - Avoiding an influence of contacts;
 - Creation of scenario that allows to understand the process.



Free carrier kinetics

Workshop on Defect Analysis in Radiation Damaged Silicon Detectors, Hamburg, 23./24. August 2006



Direct measurement of trapping and recombination: photoconductivity decay (short pulse excitation)





Limitations and possibilities

- Excitation pulse duration: ~10 ps, ~10 ns and longer pulses or mosulated dc light.
- Generation of carriers direct band-band or extrinsic (via deep levels or from/to deep level)
- Problems appears in high quality samples due to influence of the recombination at the surface (if the diffusion is significant)



Transient gratings

- Free carriers change the refractive index of semiconductor, therefore if the excitation is by the light interference pattern, then semiconductor become as a periodical grating.
- The incident or probe beam pulse will diffract in it.

Workshop on Defect Analysis in Radiation Damaged Silicon Detectors, Hamburg, 23./24. August 2006



Transient gratings - contactless, non-destructive semiconductor **homogeneity control parameters** (D, S, τ and etc.) **measurement method**



Also allows to indicate the internal electric field related to impurities if the optical symmetry allows the effect.

Refractive index modulation mechanisms



 $\Delta n_{FC} = - (e^2/2n_0\omega^2\varepsilon_0) [\Delta N/m_n^* + \Delta P/m_p^*]\omega_g^2/(\omega_g^2 - \omega^2)$

The Linear Electro-Optic (Pockels) effect:

 $\Delta n_{EO} = -n^3 r_{eff} E_{int}/2, \ r_{eff} = e_i [RK_g] e_d$

The Quadratic Electro-Optic (Kerr) effect:

$$\Delta n_{EO} = -n^3 g(\varepsilon - 1)^2 \varepsilon_0^2 E_{int}^2 = A E_{int}^2$$



Carrier transport governed formation of space charge field





Two step excitation spectroscopy: generation of carriers via deep centers





Free carrier lifetime (trapping time and diffusion) measurement by transient grating method



Workshop on Defect Analysis in Radiation Damaged Silicon Detectors, Hamburg, 23./24. August 2006





Workshop on Defect Analysis in Radiation Damaged Silicon Detectors, Hamburg, 23./24. August 2006





Workshop on Defect Analysis in Radiation Damaged Silicon Detectors, Hamburg, 23./24. August 2006





 $I_0 = 0.2 \text{ mJ/cm}^2$, grating period $\Lambda = 60 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ (decay time ~ recombination (trapping) time)





Workshop on Defect Analysis in Radiation Damaged Silicon Detectors, Hamburg, 23./24. August 2006

THE PARTY OF THE P		$ \begin{array}{c} -\Delta - \text{Si} (\text{CH2259}) \\ D = 12.5 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s} (\Delta D = 0.1 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}) \\ \tau_{\text{R}} = 5.7 \text{ ns} (\Delta \tau_{\text{R}} = 0.3 \text{ ns}) \\ \hline I_{\text{excitation}} = 5 \text{ mJ/cm}^2 \\ \hline I_{\text{excitation}} = 5 \text{ mJ/cm}^2 \\ D = 13.6 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s} (\Delta D = 0.1 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}) \\ \tau_{\text{R}} > 30 \text{ ns} \\ 0 \\ \hline I_{\text{excitation}} = 5 \text{ mJ/cm}^2 \\ \hline I_{exc$			
	Excitation (mJ/cm ²)		Si (CE2419) 1.06 10 ¹⁴ cm ⁻²	Si (CE2459) 6.36 10 ¹⁴ cm ⁻²	Si (CH2259) 9.80 10 ¹⁴ cm ⁻²
	0.7	<i>D</i> (cm²/s)	16 (± 0.1)	15.7 (± 0.1)	17.2 (± 0.1)
		τ _R (ns)	> 30	>30	20 (± 2)
	5.0	<i>D</i> (cm²/s)	13.6 (± 0.1)	13.1 (± 0.1)	12.5 (± 0.3)
		τ _R (ns)	> 30	> 30	5.7 (± 0.3)

Workshop on Defect Analysis in Radiation Damaged Silicon Detectors, Hamburg, 23./24. August 2006



Photo-Hall effect



- An influence of impurities on free carrier mobility.
- The indications of scattering on impurities, clusters and etc.:
 - According the scattering coefficient value
 - According the different dependence on temperature

(2) An increase in Hall mobility, corresponding to an increase in microscopic mobility, can be caused by photoexcitation if the charge on inhomogeneously distributed ionized centers is removed as the result of the capture of photoexcited carriers. This case is similar to the first except that here the scattering is associated with space-charge regions surrounding volumes in the crystal with differing Fermi level. Weisberg²⁴ has shown

24 L. R. Weisberg, J. Appl. Phys. 33, 1817 (1962).

how such an inhomogeneous distribution of charged imperfections can lead to apparently huge scattering cross sections if the observations are interpreted in terms of point-defect scatterers.



Transient Photo-Hall and photo-magnetoresistance effects ATAS $\mu = \frac{e < \tau_m - m_{eff}}{m_{eff}}$ 1. Basic relationships: (1) $E_{H}(t) = \frac{\sum_{i} (-1)e_{i}n_{i}(t)A_{i}\mu_{i}^{2}(t)}{\sum |e_{i}|n_{i}(t)\mu_{i}(t)}BE_{x}$ (2) $\frac{\Delta \rho_B}{dt}(t) = T_M \left(\mu B\right)^2 \quad (5)$ $\left|\Delta U_{H}(t)\right| = w \left[E_{H0} - E_{H}(t)\right] B = r_{H} \Delta \mu \cdot w EB \quad (3)$ $T_{M} = \frac{<\tau_{m}^{3} > <\tau_{m} > - <\tau_{m}^{2} >^{2}}{<\tau_{m} >^{4}}$ (6) $r_{H} = \frac{\langle \tau_{m}^{2} \rangle}{\langle \tau \rangle^{2}} \tag{4}$ $<\frac{1}{\tau_m}>=\sum_i \frac{1}{<\tau_m>_i}$ (7) $\frac{1}{\mu_H(t)}=\frac{1}{\mu_0}+\beta v S(t) N(t)$ (8)

Matthiessen's rule



Transient Photo-Hall and photo-magnetoresistance effects

2. Practical considerations:

$$\frac{1}{\mu_{H}(t)} = \frac{1}{\mu_{0}} + \beta v S(t) N(t) \quad (8)$$

$$\Delta(SN) = S_{0}N_{0} - SN = \frac{1}{\beta v} \Delta \left(\frac{1}{\mu_{0}} - \frac{1}{\mu(t)}\right) = \frac{wBE}{\beta v U_{H0}} \left(1 + \frac{U_{H0}}{\pm \Delta U_{H}}\right)^{-1} \quad (10) \quad Y(t) = \left(1 + \frac{U_{H0}}{\Delta U_{H}}\right)^{-1} (11)$$

$$\Delta \left[S(t)N(t)\right] = const \cdot Y(t) \quad (12)$$

$$\mu_{ion,i} \sim T^{\frac{3}{2}}$$

$$\mu_{s} = e \left[N_{s} \left(2m^{*}kT \right)^{1/2} S \right]^{-1}$$

 $\mu_{barr.}$ ~ T^{-1}

Further analyze depends on the model and a number of re-chargeable centers

Workshop on Defect Analysis in Radiation Damaged Silicon Detectors, Hamburg, 23./24. August 2006



(1) An increase in Hall mobility, corresponding to an increase in microscopic mobility, can be caused by photoexcitation if the charge on ionized scattering centers is removed as a result of the capture of photoexcited carriers. If $\Delta(1/\mu)$ is the magnitude of the mobility change, m_e^* is the effective carrier mass involved in the conductivity process, N is the density of scattering centers, and ΔS is the change in scattering cross section caused by photoexcitation,³

$$\Delta(1/\mu) = 10^4 m_e^{*\frac{1}{2}} T^{\frac{1}{2}} N \Delta S.$$
 (2)

If the scattering centers involved are point defects with Coulombic scattering cross section, then ΔS is given to within a factor of order unity by

$$\Delta S = (10^{-10}/K^2) \text{ cm}^2 \tag{3}$$

at room temperature, for the change from a singly charged to a neutral center, where K is the dielectric constant.



Photo-Hall effect

 If the sample has micro/nano nonuniformities, then the signal is more complex dependent on parameters.

(That should be in a case of change of conductivity type)

• It changes an effective active volume therefore changes the effective Hallmobility (a lot of models, quite complicated expressions)



Photo-ionization spectrum

Measurement of photoconductivity (possible effect also: quenching of photoconductivity, if additional excitation is used), photo-voltage, short circuit current.

Possible regime of constant signal: varying of excitation. It excludes different non-linearities.

Determination of defect optical ionization energy.



Sketch of a configuration coordinate diagram showing the relationship of the deep traps and the band edge. E_1 and E_2 represent the thermal trap depths, while the optical transition energies indicate the photoionization thresholds



FIG. 2. Spectral dependence of the current collapse response function $S(h_{\nu})$. The open circles are the experimental data, and the solid symbols represent recent (scaled) PPC data. The dotted line is an unsuccessful fit of the data to a standard deep-level photoionization cross section $\sigma(h_{\nu})$, while the heavy solid line is a fit of the data following the approach in Ref. 12, which is essentially a convolution of the photoionization cross section with a Gaussian broadening function.

¹² P. M. Mooney, G. A. Northrup, T. N. Morgan, and H. G. Grimmeiss, Phys. Rev. B 37, 8298 (1988).



Si photoconductivity spectrum

Example of data from literature:



FIG. 5. Spectral distribution of the short-circuit current I_{sc} (solid circles) and the photocurrent storage effect I_{st} (open circles and squares) measured for holes in n^+ -p Si:Ti diodes at 77 K. The open squares have been obtained by subtracting the dash-dotted curve from the open circles.

Sample from Hamburg:





Conclusions:

 It exists additional (time consuming) methods for carrier capture processes and defect parameters measurement.
 It is most important to have the samples, that are interesting for supplier.

Thank You for Your attention!